UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS WESTERN DIVISION				
	CIVIL ACTION	Wallus −3   P   p   m		
	NO.	US. 1 STORY DISTLACT (M		
MICHELLE BEEBE,	)			
Plaintiff,	) )			
v	) COMPLAINT			
WILLIAMS COLLEGE,	) )			
Defendant.	) ) )			

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. The Plaintiff, Michelle Beebe, brings this complaint to redress her employer's numerous violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA). The employer, Williams College, illegally disciplined and then wrongfully discharged Ms. Beebe after she had requested and taken leave from work that qualified under the FMLA and the employer's own medical leave policy.
- 2. The Plaintiff seeks equitable relief, in the form of reinstatement, and monetary damages, along with costs and attorney's fees.

## II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

3. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(2), 28 U.S.C. §1331, and 28 U.S.C. §1367, which grants jurisdiction over pendant state law claims.

#### III. PARTIES

4. The plaintiff, Michelle Beebe, is an adult resident of Berkshire County who

May kindge

resides at 509 North Houghton Street, Clarksburg, Massachusetts 01247.

5. On information and belief, the defendant, Williams College, is a not for profit institution of higher learning, and is the employer in this action. It has its principal place of business at Williamstown, Massachusetts 01267.

#### IV. <u>FACTS</u>

- 6. Ms. Beebe worked full time for the employer for almost fifteen years until her discharge on August 4, 2003. She held several positions, including snack bar attendant in the food service department and custodian in the building and grounds department.
- 7. During July, 2003, Ms. Beebe was working in the custodial position. She was working normal shifts Monday through Friday.
- 8. From prior to January, 2002 to July, 2003, Ms. Beebe was required to take both paid and unpaid leaves from work because she occasionally was needed to care for her three minor children who had serious health conditions.
- 9. Her son, Nicolas, has a long history of asthma, as well as other serious health conditions. He is seen several times a year by his doctor for asthma and other treatment.
- 10. Her son, David, has a history of asthma as well, along with chronic constipation and ear infections, and other serious health conditions. He was seen by his doctor multiple times each year for these conditions, as well as seen in the office frequently for asthma treatment and maintenance.
- 11. Her son, Daniel, also has a long history of ear infections and asthma, and other serious health conditions, and has been seen by his doctor multiple times each year.
  - 12. Each time that Ms. Beebe needed to accompany one of her children to a doctor's

appointment that was scheduled during her regular work hours, or otherwise was required to miss work so as to care for one or more of her children in connection with their serious health conditions, she provided the notice required by the Defendant's absence policies.

- 13. During the above time period, the employer never requested medical certification of the children's' health conditions.
- 14. During the above time period, the employer did not credit the leave paid or unpaid -- taken by Ms. Beebe as qualified under the FMLA.
- 15. On July 1, 2003, Ms. Beebe was given a written warning for excessive use of unscheduled time off. The written warning acknowledges that much of the time off was for the care of her children.
- 16. On Thursday, July 24, 2003, Ms. Beebe felt very ill and left work at about 1:00 p.m. that day. She was having difficulty breathing, was very tired and had been coughing violently.
- 17. Prior to leaving work, Ms. Beebe telephoned her supervisor, Peter Mason, and left a message on his answering machine that she needed to leave work for the above described unforeseeable reasons.
- 18. Ms. Beebe returned to work on Friday, July 25, 2003 and worked the entire day, although she was not feeling well.
  - 19. Saturday and Sunday, July 26 and 27, 2003, were Ms. Beebe's regular days off.
- 20. On Sunday, July 27, 2003, Ms. Beebe went to the North Adams Regional Hospital emergency room for treatment of the above symptoms. She was diagnosed with bronchitis with bronchospasm and prescribed three medications Combivent, Aerobid, and Zithromax. She was

told to stay home from work until at least Thursday, July 31, 2003 and to follow up with her primary care physician.

- 21. The emergency room physician provided Ms. Beebe with a doctor's note, dated July 27, 2003, excusing her from work until July 31, 2003.
- 22. On Monday morning, July 28, 2003, Ms. Beebe hand delivered the doctor's note to her supervisor at her work place and then left to return home.
- 23. On Wednesday, July 30, 2003, Ms. Beebe saw Dr. Kober, who works with Ms. Beebe's primary care physician, Dr. Payne. Dr. Kober confirmed the emergency room doctor's diagnosis, continued Ms. Beebe on the three medications and instructed her to stay out of work until August 4, 2003.
- 24. Dr. Kober provided Ms. Beebe with a doctor's note, dated July 30, 2003, excusing her from work until August 4, 2003.
- 25. On or about July 30, 2003, Ms. Beebe hand delivered the second doctor's note to her supervisor at her work place and then went home.
  - 26. Ms. Beebe reported for work on Monday, August 4, 2003.
- 27. When Ms. Beebe arrived at work, her supervisor, Beatrice Miles, informed her that she was fired and handed Ms. Beebe her final paycheck.
- 28. Ms. Miles also gave Ms. Beebe a letter dated August 4, 2003 stating in so many words that she was being fired because she had missed six days of work during the month of July.
  - 29. Ms. Beebe was an employee covered by the FMLA.
  - 30. Williams College is an employer covered by the FMLA.

- 31. When Ms. Beebe notified the Defendant of her need for leave during the time period from before January 1, 2002 to July, 2003 so she could care for her children with serious health conditions, Ms. Beebe had not exhausted her allotment of twelve weeks of FMLA leave during a twelve-month period.
- 32. When she notified the Defendant of her need for leave near the end of July, 2003 for her own serious health condition, which ultimately amounted to seven days, Ms. Beebe had not exhausted her allotment of twelve weeks of FMLA leave during a twelve-month period.
- 33. As of July 24, 2003, Ms. Beebe suffered from a serious health condition which rendered her unable to perform her job.
- 34. On July 24, 2003, July 28, 2003 and again on or about July 30, 2003, Ms. Beebe gave the employer sufficient information to put the employer on notice that she might qualify for FMLA leave.
- 35. The employer did not offer Ms. Beebe FMLA-covered leave for the purpose of caring for her children with serious health conditions during the period of time from before January 1, 2002 to July, 2003.
- 36. The employer did not offer Ms. Beebe FMLA-covered leave for the purpose of her own serious health condition during the period of time from July 24, 2003 to August 4, 2003.
- 37. The employer has an explicit policy governing family and medical leave that it provides to employees.
- 38. Ms. Beebe received a copy of the employer's family and medical leave policy as part of her employee handbook.

- 39. The employer did not follow its stated policy when it denied medical leave to Ms. Beebe.
- 40. By way of a grievance filed with the employer after her discharge, Ms. Beebe notified her employer that she would like to be reinstated to her former, or a comparable position. As of the date of this complaint, the employer had not made Ms. Beebe a specific offer of employment.
  - 41. The Defendant wrongfully disciplined Ms. Beebe on July 1, 2003.
  - 42. The Defendant wrongfully terminated Ms. Beebe on August 4, 2003.
- 43. As a direct result of the wrongful discipline on July 1, 2003, Ms. Beebe suffered and continues to suffer severe emotional distress.
- 44. As a direct result of the wrongful termination, Ms. Beebe suffered and continues to suffer severe emotional distress.

### V. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>

#### First Claim: Violation of FMLA

- 45. The Plaintiff repeats the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 44, above, and incorporates them here.
- 46. The employer interfered with Ms. Beebe's rights under the FMLA by failing and refusing to grant Ms. Beebe medical leave to care for the serious medical conditions of her minor children during the period from before January 1, 2002 until July 2003.
- 47. The employer interfered with Ms. Beebe's rights under the FMLA by failing and refusing to grant Ms. Beebe medical leave for her own serious medical condition during the

period from July 24, 2003 until August 4, 2003 and by wrongfully terminating her on August 4, 2003 for missing work during that period.

- The employer discriminated against Ms. Beebe in violation of the FMLA by 48. disciplining Ms. Beebe for taking medical leave to care for the serious medical conditions of her minor children.
- The employer discriminated against Ms. Beebe in violation of her rights under the 49. FMLA by wrongfully terminating her employment on August 4, 2003 for taking medical leave to care for her own serious medical condition.
- By its actions described above, the employer violated the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. 50. § 2615(a)(1) & (2), and its implementing regulations, and interfered with Ms. Beebe's rights under those provisions and discriminated against her for exercising his rights under the FMLA.

## Second Claim: Breach of Contract

- The Plaintiff repeats the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 50, above, and 51. incorporates them here.
- By failing to provide Ms. Beebe with medical leave in accordance with its own 52. stated policies, the employer breached its employment contract with Ms. Beebe.

#### VI. PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

Order the employer to reinstate Ms. Beebe to her job as custodian or equivalent 1) position;

Order the employer to fully restore, back to the date of termination, any life 2) insurance, dental, medical, disability and other benefits which were a part of Ms. Beebe's previous benefit package;

Grant the Plaintiff money damages against the Defendant employer pursuant to 29 3) U.S.C. § 2617, including but not limited to damages for lost wages, benefits, and other compensation denied or lost to the employee; and for emotional distress; and including liquidated damages as provided for by that section;

Grant to the Plaintiff the costs of maintaining this action, including a reasonable 4) attorney's fee, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(3);

Grant to the Plaintiff damages for breach of contract; and 5)

Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 6)

The Plaintiff demands a jury trial for all claims so triable.

Respectfully submitted. MICHELLE BEEBE By Her Attorney,

Dated: August 3, 2005

Thomas J. McCormick, BBO # 561760 Heisler, Feldman & McCormick, P.C. 1145 Main Street, Suite 508 Springfield, MA 01103 (413) 788-7988

Fax (413) 788-7996

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as r royided the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Page 9 of 10

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Michelle Beebe.			(u	Williams College				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Berkshire (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
			NOTE: IN LA LANI	ND CONDEMNATION CASES, DINVOLVED.	USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
1145 Main Stree	e. Address, and Telephone Num mick: Heisley, Fall t, Svite Sog, Sp.	bor) Aman & McCormick Tagheld, MA L110 788-7988	Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"		II. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIE	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Hain			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Governmen	nt Not a Party)		PTF DEF  J   D   Incorporated or   of Business In Ti	and One Box for Defendant  PTF DEF  Principal Place			
U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizens	ship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	D 2				
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	7 3 3 Foreign Nation	D 6 (16			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS						
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY  ☐ 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  7380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Other  7550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157    PROPERTY RIGHTS     820 Copyrights     840 Trademark     840 Trademark     861 HIA (1395ff)     862 Black Lung (923)     863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))     864 SSID Title XVI     865 RSI (405(g))     FEDERAL TAX SUITS     870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)     871 IRS - Third Party     26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionme it     □ 410 Antitrust     □ 430 Banks and Banking     □ 450 Commerce     □ 460 Deportation     □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations     □ 480 Consumer Credit     □ 490 Cable/Sat TV     □ 810 Selective Service     □ 850 Securities/Commoditi is/Exchange     □ 875 Customer Challenge     □ 12 USC 3410     □ 890 Other Statutory Actio is     □ 891 Agricultural Acts     □ 892 Economic Stabilization Ac     □ 893 Environmental Mattes     □ 894 Energy Allocation Ac     □ 895 Freedom of Information     Act     □ 900Appeal of Fee Determinatic     □ Under Equal Access     □ to Justice     □ 950 Constitutionality of     State Statutes			
✓1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from ate Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Remanded from Appellate Court  tute under which you are fi	Reinstated or 5 Transf another (specifing (Do not cite jurisdictional Azt, 24 U.S.C.)	statutes unless diversity):	Appeal to Dis riet Judge from Magistrate Judgment			
· A CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of on	1100:	laintiffe FMLH righte	and discommated as	caudt lor bu			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only i	demanded in complaint:			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions)	JUDGE		JURY DEMAND:  DOCKET NUMBER	Yes 🗆 No			
8/3/05		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD					

306013

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Categorule 40	ory in wh 0.1(a)(1)). I.	nich the case belongs based up	on the numbered natur				
X				e or suit code	listed on the c	ivil cover shee	t. (See local
X		160, 410, 470, 535, R.23, RE	GARDI ESS OF MATUR	35 05 a.u.			
	И.	195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 84	-446, 540, 550, 555, 625	. 710 720 720		lete AO 120 or	AO 121
	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350 380, 385, 450, 891.	. 210. 230 240 245 204	N 310	for patent,	trademark or d	opyright case
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871,	, 490, 510, 530, 610, 620 875, 900.	), 630, 640, 650	, 660,		
	V.	150, 152, 153.					
			are mot med case in th	us court.			
				YES	erereij.	***************************************	
Does th	e compl	aint in this case question the co	onstitutionality of an ac	t of congress a	offecting the p	ublic interest?	(See 28 US(
				VEC	***************************************	N	(
				YES	NO		
this c	ase requ	ired to be heard and determine	d by a district court of	three judges p	ursuant to title	28 USC §228	1?
				YES	1	1 A 1	
o <u>all</u> of lassact	the part nusetts (	ies in this action, excluding go "governmental agencies"), res	overnmental agencies o iding in Massachusetts	of the united sta reside in the s	ates and the C same division	ommonwealth ? - (See Local	of Rule 40.1(d)).
	_			YES \[ \sum_	< No	-	
	A.		ll of the non-governme	ntal parties res	ide?		
		was, j					X
	В.	If πο, in which division do the residing in Massachusetts re	e majority of the plaintit side?	ffs or the only p	oarties, exclud	ling governme	ntal agencies,
		Eastern Division		£			
filing a ıbmit a	Notice of separate	of Removal - are there any motice a sheet identifying the motions)	ons pending in the state	e court requirin	g the attentio	n of this Court	? (If yes,
				YES	NO		
SE TYF	PE OR PI	RINT)		*****		keen would	
RNEY'S	NAME_	Thomas J Mc	- Cormick	Heisler to	Murre &	McCaxin	2K 0.7
ESS _	1/1	5 Main Shreet	Suite SUS,	Sourch	210, WA	01143	Note - Comment
HONE	NO	413 - 788- 7988					
	las a pool of the control of the con	ritle and number district please in the last a prior action of the complease in the last assachusetts (  A.  B.  Stilling a Notice of the part assachusetts (  A.  B.	V. 150, 152, 153.  Fittle and number, if any, of related cases. (See district please indicate the title and number of the district please indicate the title and number of the district please indicate the title and number of the district please indicate the title and number of the district please indicate the title and number of the district please in this case question the content of the content of the district please of the complaint in this case question the content of the conten	V. 150, 152, 153.  Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If related case in the district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in the district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in the district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in the district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in the district please in the same parties and based on the same of the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an acceptable of the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an acceptable of the U.S. a party?  This case required to be heard and determined by a district court of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of assachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental agencies of the plaintification in the plaintification of the majority of the plaintification in the state of the plaintification of the majority of the plaintification of the major	V. 150, 152, 153.  Fitte and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been YES  Poses the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress at 2403)  Foo, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES  In this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges prior of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united state assachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same call of the non-governmental parties reside in the same call of the parties of the united state assachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same call of the non-governmental parties resides.  A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties residing in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division  Central Division  Central Division  Central Division  The massachusetts reside for the state court requiring the motions.  YES  SETYPE OR PRINT)  RNEY'S NAME  The massachusetts for the Sufficient for the state court requiring the motions.	V. 150, 152, 153.  Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related collistrict please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  Also a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this concepts of the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the parties and in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the parties of the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES NO  This case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title the constitutional parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Construction association in the same division of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Construction association in the same division of the non-governmental parties reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Wester and the construction of the parties of the only parties, excluding in Massachusetts reside?  Eastern Division Central Division Wester are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention bind a separate sheet identifying the motions)  YES NO  SETYPE OR PRINT)  The May Source Source Active Set Set Set Set Set Set Set Set Set Se	V. 150, 152, 153.  Filte and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filstrict please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  Filter and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filstrict please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.  Filter and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filstrict please in this court.  Filter and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filstrict please in this court.  Filter and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filstrict please in this court.  FILT NO